

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067

Australia

Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



June 2014 No 770

COMPULSORY SCHOOL SUBJECT: **HOLOCAUST STUDIES**

*

"...Yet claims by many mainstream commentators that *there is no debate about 'The Holocaust'* are factually untrue. Like it or not, some of the most basic facts of what's called 'The Holocaust' are in dispute. I mean, in this instance, simply that *the facts are disputed*. It's a plain matter of fact. Whether they *should* be disputed is a different issue. That's a matter of opinion."... <http://sydwalker.info/blog/2011/05/23/mandatory-holocaust-education-a-bodgy-idea/>

*

Blueprint sets high standard for education in the future

PROFESSOR BARRY MCGAW, THE DAILY TELEGRAPH, DECEMBER 10, 2010 12:00AM

THE Australian Ministers of Education took a historic step this week. We now have a national curriculum for the first time. It is for English, mathematics, science and history for foundation to Year 10.

This first Australian curriculum has been benchmarked against curricula in high-performing countries. The results of the OECD's latest comparisons of student performances show we need to lift our game. Australia is one of the few OECD countries in which performance levels declined in the past 10 years. A new, more demanding curriculum is one of the ways in which we can address this drift. The Australian curriculum is a 21st Century curriculum. It includes explicit attention to general capabilities such as critical and creative thinking and personal and social competence, as well as to learning in academic disciplines. This strategy is also being used in Singapore and Finland.

The Australian curriculum sets out students' learning entitlements - the knowledge, understanding and skills that all students should have the opportunity to acquire. It does not prescribe how teachers should organise their students' learning but it offers suggestions in "content elaborations" of ways in which teachers might develop ideas. The ACT will implement the new curriculum in 2011. Most will use 2011 as a year for familiarisation. The NSW Board of Studies, under the requirements of NSW legislation, will build new syllabuses that preserve the integrity of the Australian curriculum.

The curriculum includes statements of the achievement standards expected of students at each year level. These need to be validated and adjusted as teachers and students implement or trial the curriculum in 2011. It will also be necessary to resolve some marked differences in current state and territory practices to reach a final agreement on the model of achievement standards to be used. Ministers on Wednesday directed that this work be completed by October 2011.

There are many relevant digital and other resources for teachers and students that have been developed over the past decade by the states and territories and, nationally, through the Ministers' Learning Federation initiative.

Education Services Australia is currently linking digital resources to the new Australian curriculum so that teachers will more easily be able to find them. They will be accessible through the national e-learning portal by March 2011 and, in the following months, through the e-learning portals of NSW, Victoria, Queensland, Western Australia and Tasmania.

The step taken by ministers on Wednesday was very significant but only the first of many to which ministers have

committed themselves. Work on the senior years in English, mathematics, science and history continues. Work on Foundation to Year 12 in geography, languages other than English and the arts is under way in phase 2 and the rest will follow in phase 3. The full scope is outlined for further discussion in *The Shape of the Australian Curriculum* endorsed by ministers on Wednesday for publication on ACARA's website - www.acara.edu.au.

Professor McGaw is Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority chair

<http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/opinion/blueprint-sets-high-standard-for-education-in-the-future/story-e6frezz0-1225968497025>

*

My objection to compulsory 'Holocaust education' in the national curriculum is different in kind from complaints I've noticed by other commentators. Kevin Donnelly's article in the *Australian Conservative* - [The Rudd/Gillard education revolution: an evaluation](http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/au-other/auconserv/article/education-revolution-an-evaluation) - is a case in point. Dr Donnelly writes:

Every subject in the national curriculum has to be taught through a PC prism involving Aboriginal, environmental and Asian perspectives. As a consequence, the history curriculum ignores Australia's Western heritage and the significance of the nation's Judeo-Christian values and beliefs. Much of the new curriculum, not surprisingly given the march of postmodernism and deconstruction through the Academy, also embraces the view that there are no truths or absolutes as how individuals perceive the world is subjective and knowledge is a cultural artefact. In the 29 pages of the first draft of the kindergarten to year 10 history document, Christendom is mentioned once and Christian also once, but only in the context of studying other religions, including Hinduism, Buddhism, Shinto, Judaism and Islam. In the science draft teachers are told that Western scientific concepts are on the same footing as indigenous views about science. The geography curriculum adopts a similar relativistic approach to knowledge when it argues that students should be taught that indigenous concepts of the land are just as valid as Western concepts, on the basis that, "By understanding Indigenous conceptions of their interrelationship with nature, all students can learn that there are other ways of thinking about and interacting with the environment and its resources that those informed by a Western capitalist tradition".

<http://sydwalker.info/blog/2011/05/23/mandatory-holocaust-education-a-bodgy-idea/>

*

Germany can prosecute ex-SS man living in America, court rules

Berlin says Michael Karkoc held a "German office" even though he is not German and his alleged crimes were not committed on German soil
By AP May 23, 2014, 1:00 am



In this May 22, 1990 photo, Michael Karkoc, photographed in Lauderdale, Minn. prior to a visit to Minnesota from Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev in early June of 1990. Photo credit: AP/The St. Paul Pioneer Press, Chris Polydoroff

BERLIN — Germany's highest criminal court has ruled that the country has jurisdiction over the case of a retired Minnesota carpenter that an Associated Press investigation exposed as a former commander in a Nazi SS-led unit. The Federal Court of Justice said in its ruling published Thursday that 95-year-old Michael Karkoc's service as a commander in the SS-led Ukrainian Self Defense Legion made him the "holder of a German office." This gives Germany the legal right to prosecute him even though he is not German, his alleged crimes were against non-Germans and they were not committed on German soil.

Someone in that role "served the purposes of the Nazi state's world view," the court said. Karkoc's son, Andriy Karkos, didn't respond to an AP email seeking comment and hung up on a reporter who reached him via cellphone. A home number for Michael Karkoc was no longer working Thursday.

The court's decision represents "a big step forward" in the case against Karkoc, said Thomas Will, deputy director of the special federal prosecutors' office that investigates Nazi crimes. He initially handled the case in Germany. Will referred the case to the court late last year after concluding in his own investigation that enough evidence existed to pursue murder charges against Karkoc, who has denied the allegations against him. Will's office has no powers to file charges itself, and the federal court in its ruling referred the case to Munich prosecutors. They will examine the evidence again to determine whether to charge Karkoc and seek his extradition from the United States.

The German investigation began after the AP published a story last year establishing that Karkoc commanded a unit accused of burning villages filled with women and children, then lied to American immigration officials to get into the United States a few years after World War II.

A second story uncovered evidence that Karkoc himself ordered his men in 1944 to attack a Polish village in which dozens of civilians were killed, contradicting statements from his family that he was never at the scene. Polish prosecutors also are investigating. The U.S. Department of Justice has declined to confirm whether it also is investigating Karkoc, citing its policy of not confirming or denying individual investigations.

Karkoc applied for German citizenship on Feb. 14, 1940, according to Nazi documents signed by Karkoc and located by the AP in February in the U.S. National Archives in College Park, Maryland. Karkoc was 20 at the time, and his date of birth and hometown matched those on the documents. Karkoc's application was rejected because of his lack of German language skills. The SS-administered immigration office instead said it would provide Karkoc passport-like papers identifying him as an ethnic German.

Copyright 2014 The Associated Press.

<http://www.timesofisrael.com/germany-can-prosecute-ex-ss-man-living-in-america-court-rules/>

*

Appeal to clarify religious standing KATHERINE TOWERS, [THE AUSTRALIAN](http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/le-galaffairs/appealtoclarifyreligiousstanding/story-e6frg97x-1226927433470), MAY 23, 2014 12:00AM

THE extent of religious freedom in modern Australia will be tested by the High Court after a Christian group last week launched an appeal against a landmark Victorian court decision which, for the first time, elevated anti-discrimination laws over the right to religious freedom.

The appeal will be closely watched by human rights, religious, academic and minority groups across the country, as it will have wide-ranging ramifications on the extent of religious freedom in Australia and the operation of religiously motivated groups in the community. Associate professor in law and religion at the University of Newcastle Neil Foster welcomed the appeal, warning that without High Court clarification of the issue, religious groups — which often provide care to the most vulnerable people in society — might be forced out of the community.

"That will be bad, not just for religious people, but also for those vulnerable persons in our community who are cared for by religious groups, often when others will not do so," he said. Describing the case, *Christian Youth Camps v Cobaw*, as one of the most important law and religion decisions handed down in Australia in recent years, Associate Professor Foster said the High Court needed to clarify the extent of religious freedom in Australia and how those freedoms sat within the framework of an individual's right to be free from discrimination.

"We should not be elevating freedom from discrimination above all other human rights as the most important," he warned.

The original appeal decision last month by a majority full bench of the Victorian Court of Appeal narrowed religious rights in the state after it ruled that a camp site run by Christian Youth Camps had breached anti-discrimination laws by refusing to allow a support group for same-sex youth to use the site.

Christian Youth Camp argued that it did not discriminate against the group because of the sexual orientation of the individual members but because the group was advocating homosexual behaviour, which was contrary to its beliefs and doctrine.

It argued that under religious exemptions in the state's Equal Opportunity Act, it was within its rights to discriminate against something contrary to its beliefs. But the court ruled that discriminating against homosexual behaviour was the same as discriminating against individual homosexuals, which is illegal under the act.

Professor Foster said while the details of the Victorian Court of Appeal decision involved an anti-discrimination spat between a Christian group and a homosexual support group, the ramifications from the decision were widespread and had a "major detrimental impact" on religious groups that provide services to the community. "(The Court of Appeal decision) sends the wrong message about religious groups not being able to operate in accordance with their religious beliefs," Professor Foster said. "It cannot be a good thing to tell these groups that if they want to operate in the community, they effectively have to adopt a non-religious perspective, which denies the very reason for their existence. The narrow reading of the exemptions given by the majority decision in the Victorian Court of Appeal will, if applied in other areas, end up squeezing religiously motivated groups out of the public sphere altogether."

In the grounds for appeal, Christian Youth Camps argues that the finding that its discrimination against a group advocating homosexual behaviour was akin to discriminating against an individual homosexual, was wrong. It says it didn't discriminate against the actions of the support group or the individuals involved but discriminated against the "syllabus" and teaching to be given at the camp.

[HTTP://WWW.THEAUSTRALIAN.COM.AU/BUSINESS/LE-GALAFFAIRS/APPEALTOCLARIFYRELIGIOUSSTANDING/STORY-E6FRG97X-1226927433470](http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/le-galaffairs/appealtoclarifyreligiousstanding/story-e6frg97x-1226927433470)

A Year 11 Student's Holocaust Work Fails The PC Test

*

HOLOCAUST STUDIES ASSIGNMENT – Marked "C"

Introduction

I consider myself extremely skeptical of historical events and there widely accepted narrative and overall legitimacy, most recently perhaps the official story for the September 11 attacks. In my mind the official story of 9-11 insults the intelligence of a 10 year old. I have little faith in our governments and believe there are financial and political agendas behind almost every major war and event in history without exception. To the extent that the official stories are nothing more than bogus lies. It was the famous French philosopher Voltaire who was quoted as saying, "What is history? The lie that everyone agrees on".

For example the pretext for the Iraq war was the allegation of Weapons of Mass Destruction, the war in Iraq cost the lives of over 1million Iraqi civilians including over a half-million children. No WMD's were ever found. Furthermore the Gulf of Tonkin incident, which was the pretext for the Vietnam War, is now acknowledged to be an absolute lie. So over 50,000 American soldiers and countless hundreds of thousands of Vietnamese died based on a lie, this is even acknowledged by the US Government.

On this skeptical premise I will give an in-depth and holistic examination into the Holocaust, the most controversial and tabooed subject in modern history. The revisionist viewpoint shall be given instead of just slandering them, as is the usual practice. As it is my own philosophy that without an understanding of both viewpoints, both for and against the Holocaust, and an unbiased examination of the evidence, one has no basis of which to make an educated opinion.

Topic Question

Assess the reliability and relevance of individuals and groups who deny the Holocaust ever took place and those who question the authenticity of Anne Frank's diary.

Current Hypothesis

My current hypothesis on the reliability and relevance of those who deny the Holocaust ever took place is as follows. To call them Holocaust

deniers is to simplistic a statement, first one must define the Holocaust and that is something I will delve into later. More appropriately termed revisionists, these people over the past century have revised the orthodox Holocaust story substantially. When the war was over in 1945 it was claimed that 22 camps had gas chambers in them, thanks to the revisionists and their research, 16 of those camps have been rebuked and now it is only claimed that 6 camps had gas chambers. Revisionists argue that the logistical and scientific basis of which the previous 16 camps were rebuked is the same basis of which they argue the 6 camps in Poland including Auschwitz should now be rebuked.

Another example of historical revision is the allegation that Germans made soap from Jewish body fat and lampshades out of their skin. These were both forensically proven fallacies. Revisionists in my opinion are relevant and reliable because they seek to find out the truth by revising history and separating truth from wartime propaganda, which they have been doing successfully for the past 70 years.

Dr Robert Faurison, Germar Rudolf, Mark Weber, Dr Fredrick Toben, Dr David Duke, Dr Norman Finkelstein and David Cole – the latter two are both Jewish – and Jürgen Graf, who speaks 17 languages, are all Holocaust revisionists, or in Norman's case take a more or less revisionist standpoint, so any concept that Holocaust revisionists are uneducated racists must be immediately taken out of the equation. Many have doctorates in history and philosophy; have held government positions such as Dr David Duke, and almost all are best selling authors. There are also several Jewish revisionists I will be quoting extensively in this assignment.

Points to be discussed in the essay:

- 1.** Origins of Anti-Semitism in Germany
- 2.** The Revisionist opinion on the three pillars of Holocaust Orthodoxy
- 3.** The authenticity of Anne Frank's diary - why people question it
- 4.** Holistic examination of the evidence and own conclusion also include relevance and reliability.

Rationale Question 1

*

Origins of Anti-Semitism in Germany

Perhaps the reason why Jews were persecuted in Germany can be summarized in this following speech.

Adolf Hitler speech – Berlin, July 19, 1940

Once again the conspiracy of pitiful, corrupt political creatures and greedy financial magnates made its appearance for whom war is a welcome means to bolster business. The international Jewish poison of the peoples began to agitate against and to corrode healthy minds. Men of letters set out to portray decent men who desired peace calling them weaklings and traitors, to denounce opposition parties as a "fifth column" in order to eliminate internal resistance to their criminal policy of war. Jews and Freemasons, armament industrialists and war profiteers, international traders and stockjobbers found political blackguards: desperados and glory seekers who represented war as something to be yearned for and hence wished for. Believe me, my Deputies; I feel an inner disgust at this type of unscrupulous parliamentary annihilators of peoples and states. It's almost painful to me to have been chosen by providence to give a shove to what these men have brought to its knees. It was not my ambition to wage wars, but to build up a new social state of the highest culture. And every year of war takes me away from my work.



Adolf Hitler believed that the Jewish powers of the time were money hungry tyrants who along with the freemasons (founded by Jews) financed and used wars as a means to bolster business. At the time most of the banks in Europe were privately owned by Jewish powers, mainly the Rothschild's, so financially empowered they were and still are. The following Nazi propaganda poster corroborates the accusations in Hitler's

speech, and also sheds light on another Hitler insinuation, which was that the Jews secretly control the Allied powers behind the scenes.

When translated to English "Hinter den Feindmächten der Jude" reads

"Behind them smartened up, the Jew". This poster is insinuating that the Jews secretly controlled Russia, America and Britain behind the scenes. It was Hitler's belief that the Jews controlled these nations, he also believed the Jews were responsible for the Treaty of Versailles; he would refer to it as the "Great stab in the back". Now do any of Hitler's accusations towards the Jews have any basis? A question that in my opinion needs to be answered due to the fact Germany was the 109th time in history Jews were expelled from a nation under the pretext of being power-grabbing influence peddlers. Did the Jews control Britain, America and Russia? Consult the following information. The answer will help form a better understanding of the pogroms to come.

Hitler not only despised the Jews because he believed they aimed at world dominion as is illustrated in the book "The protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion" he also believed Jews spawned Communism, an ideology that has claimed the lives of over 100million people in the 20th century. Do Jews aim at world dominion? Consult the following quotations.

The Jewish people as a whole will be its own Messiah. It will attain world domination by the dissolution of other races...and by the establishment of a world

republic in which everywhere the Jews will exercise the privilege of citizenship. In this New World Order the Children of Israel...will furnish all the leaders without encountering opposition... --Karl Marx in a letter to Baruch Levy, quoted in Review de Paris, June 1, 1928, p574

We shall have a Jewish world government whether or not we like it, the question is only whether world government will be achieved by consent or by conquest. – James Warburg merchant Jewish banker

I care not what puppet is placed upon the throne of England to rule the empire on which the sun never sets. The man who controls Britain's money supply controls the British Empire, and I control the British money supply. – Nathan Rothschild powerful Jewish banker

It cannot be denied that despite composing barely one percent of both the United States and British populations Jews had and still have immense financial power and a monopoly over all the major banks. The Rothschild family controls the bank of England and they were one of the four main Jewish families instrumental in establishing the American Federal Reserve in 1913. The Rothschild's along with the Warburg's, Schiff's and Rockefeller's all of whom are Jewish control the Federal Reserve, which is not federal as it is privately owned. Henry Ford founder of the Ford Motor Company had the following to say about Jewish power in America.

Jews have always controlled the business... The motion picture influence of the United States and Canada... is exclusively under the control, moral and financial, of the Jewish manipulators of the public mind. – The Dearborn Independent, 12-19 February 1921.

Quote by HENRY FORD from his book, *The International Jew*:

The Jewish nation is the only nation that possesses the secrets of all the rest, there is no government in the world so completely at their service as America. "The British did this, the Germans did that, when it was the international Jew that did it." "The Americans are a sordid, greedy, cruel people." Why? Because Jewish money-power is centered here. The genius of the Jew is to live off people, not off land, nor off the production of commodities from raw materials, but off people. Let other people till the soil; the Jew will live off the tiller. Let other people toil at trades and manufacture; the Jew will exploit the fruits of their work: That is his particular genius. If this genius be

describes as parasitic, the term would seem to be justified by a certain fitness.

Now I think that these facts form a strong basis for the Anti-Semitism that would eventually engulf Germany, it is often claimed that Jews were completely powerless and the anti-Semitism materialized from nowhere. It is clear that the Nazi propaganda poster has more basis in fact than one may believe. Jews certainly had immense power in both Britain and America, Hitler knew this. Nathan Rothschild boasted openly of controlling Britain and denounced the leadership and monarchy as puppets. James Warburg made an equally self-incriminating comment stating that a Jewish New World Order is inevitable.

The only question that remains concerning the Propaganda poster is whether or not the Jews controlled Russia, a country where again they were a tiny minority of barely two percent of the population? Did they control Russia and drive the Communist Juggernaut that would eventually seize power?

British Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay in his book *The Nameless War* described the Jewish domination of Russia as follows:

As for anyone who does not know that the present revolutionary Bolshevist movement in Russia is Jewish, I can only say that he must be a man who is taken in by the suppression of our deplorable Press.

This following quotation is taken from the trilogy of books *The Gulag Archipelago* by Gulag survivor, best selling author and Nobel Prize winner Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn:

You must understand the leading Bolsheviks who took over Russia were not Russians. They hated Russians. They hated Christians. Driven by ethnic hatred they tortured and slaughtered millions of Russians without a shred of human remorse. The October revolution was not what you call in America the 'Russian Revolution' it was an invasion and conquest over the Russian people. More of my countrymen suffered horrific crimes at their bloodstained hands than any people or nation ever suffered in the entirety of human history. It cannot be overstated. Bolshevism committed the greatest human slaughter of all time. The fact that most of the world is ignorant and uncaring about this enormous crime is proof that the global media is in the hands of the perpetrators.

The London Times correspondent in Russia provided

the first western eyewitness account of the monumental events which resulted in the creation of the Soviet Union. Wilton provides a full historical background and the disastrous course of World War One for Russia, which sets the scene for the seizure of power by the Bolsheviks. He then details the Red Terror's full enormity, and ends with the optimistic – and incorrect – hope that Bolshevism would be short-lived. Although Wilton's credentials were impeccable and his status unchallenged, this book was blacklisted because he dared to report openly on the overwhelming number of Jews amongst the

Communist revolutionaries. - Blurb of *Russia's Agony*, By Robert Wilton *London Times* correspondent.

Mr. Fahey, in his important and authenticated work, *The Rulers of Russia*, is more specific, stating that in 1917 of the 52 persons who took over the direction in Russia, all but Lenin were Jews. "Consisted of 59 men, of which 56 were Jews. The other three, including Stalin, were married to Jewesses. Of 17 principal Soviet ambassadors, 4 were Jews." *Rulers of Russia*, pages 8 and 9.

The following quotations and picture are taken from Dr David Duke's book, *The Secret Behind Communism*:

Gengrikh Yagoda was the greatest Jewish murderer of the 20th Century, the GPU's deputy commander and the founder and commander of the NKVD. Yagoda diligently implemented Stalin's collectivization orders and is responsible for the deaths of at least 10 million people.



His Jewish deputies established and managed the Gulag system." "Gengrikh Yagoda murdered almost twice the number of people alleged by Adolf Hitler, but although Jewish scholars realize this fact, not 1 in 1000 gentiles (non-Jews) even know his name and can much less associate it with mass murder"



Kurt Eisner, Jewish, Leader of the Bavarian red revolution. First president of the Bolshevik regime.




Ernst Toller, Jewish - Second president of the Bolshevik republic.



Eugene Levine, Jewish - Fanatical Communist. Established the Bavarian red army and unleashed a "Red Terror".



Gustav Landauer, Jewish Anarchist. Main ideological leader



Karl Marx, Jewish – The ideological father of Communism. Co-Author of the *Communist Manifesto*. Descended from a long line of Talmudic rabbis. Marx openly encouraged genocide of Slavs, referring to them as “racial trash” & that they must “perish in the revolutionary Holocaust”



Moses Hess, Jewish – personal mentor and friend of Karl Marx. Credited as being a major philosophical leader of the early socialist movement & the early Zionist movement. Hess claimed, “Judaism alone holds the Key”.



Max Warburg, left, and Jacob Schiff, both Jewish – The two most powerful Zionists & Wall Street bankers from the beginning of the 1900s. Warburg was chief architect of the Federal Reserve System. The largest financial contributors to the Bolshevik revolution, Schiff and Warburg gave Lenin and Trotsky over 20 million dollars in order to strengthen the Red Army. They also funded the Japanese military during the Russo-Japanese war.



Yakov Sverdlov, Jewish – head chairman of the people’s first people’s commissariat, 1 of 5 chairman of whom were also Jewish. Lenin considered making him successor. He, along with Lenin ordered the execution of the Czar Nicholas the ii, his wife and their 5 children.



Moisei Solomonovich Uritsky, Jewish – a central chairman of the first people’s commissariat. Uritsky

had been a one-time member of the Jewish Bund & The Menshevik minority party. He was a guiding Leninist figure during the October revolt & latter became leader of the Cheka.



Nikolai Yezhov, Jewish – head of the NKVD after Yagoda. From 1937 to 1938, the population of Gulag prisoners tripled under Yezhov.



Karl Liebknecht and Rosa Luxemburg, both Jewish – top leaders of the Communist party of Germany. Both were guiding Marxist revolutionaries during the Spartacus uprising of 1919 in Berlin. **Liebknecht once stated: The Bolshevik revolution** was to an unprecedented degree the cause of the whole world becoming more revolutionary.

Now after consulting this information it is clear that the Jews certainly controlled Russia, and what is even more interesting is their complete domination over the military, government, media and finance despite constituting barely two percent of the Russian population. Was this mere coincidence? Or was it in line with their age-old agenda for world domination that has had them kicked out of over 100 countries? Thusly there is much basis to the Nazi propaganda poster and Hitler's accusations. This immense power obviously contributed to the rise of anti-Semitism in Germany. Hitler coined the phrase "Jewish Bolshevism". Jews not only wrote the Communist Manifesto – Karl Marx – but also played the largest and most decisive role in bringing communism to such grim reality.

The great stab in the back

Not only did Hitler accuse the Jews of aiming for world domination but he also asserted that they were the ones responsible for the treaty of Versailles. It is necessary to research Versailles and WW1 namely its after affects because the hatred it would instill in Germans would rankle with them and eventually lead to the Second World War and Holocaust.

The following is a summarization of a speech made by former Jewish Zionist Benjamin Freedman at the Willard Hotel in Washington DC 1961, concerning the WWI Betrayal of the

German people. This summarization is taken from Australian Revisionist Dr Frederick Toben's documentary, *Judea declares war on Germany*:

*Mr. Freedman knew exactly what he was talking about because he was an insider at the highest levels within international Jewish organizations and a personal friend of at least four United States presidents. He was also a member of the **117 strong Zionist delegation at the signing of the Versailles peace treaty**, in Paris in 1919. Where Germany was forced into bankruptcy and social chaos. Mr. Freedman says that two years into WW1 Germany then which was winning the war offered Britain and France a negotiated Peace deal. But German Zionist groups seeing an opportunity made a deal with Britain to get the United States into the war if Britain promised to give the Jews Palestine. The British agreed. Using blackmail the United States Zionists brought great pressure to bear on president Wilson and the United States entered and won the war for Great Britain and France. The British as promised signed the Balfour declaration, which gave Palestine to the Jews."*

Also from Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay's *The Nameless War*:

All important decisions were taken by the "Big Four" - Britain, France, Italy and the U.S.A., represented respectively by Mr. Lloyd George, M. Clemenceau, Baron Sonino and President Wilson. So much is known. What is not known is that: the secretary of Mr. Lloyd George was the Jew Sassoon; of M. Clemenceau the Jew Mandel Rothschild, now known as Mandel; Baron Sonino was himself a half Jew; and President Wilson had the Jew Brandeis; the interpreter was another Jew named Mantoux; and the Military Adviser yet another Jew called Kish. It is known that Mr. Lloyd George and others were hazy about geography. Their Jewish

secretaries, however, were on the contrary very much on the spot on such matters. These Jews met at 6 p.m. in the evenings; and mapped out the decisions for the following day's conference of the "Big Four."

Concerning Versailles, British Prime Minister Lloyd George wrote: *The international bankers (Jewish Zionists) swept statesman, politicians and journalists all to one side and issued their orders with the imperiousness of absolute monarchs.*"

The Daily Mail reported 10 July 1933: *The German nation was rapidly falling under the control of alien elements. In the last days of the pre-Hitler regime there were twenty times more Jewish government officials in Germany as had existed before the war.*"

Now this information clearly shows a domineering Jewish Zionist role in WW1 and Versailles. One just needs to read the Balfour Declaration and above quotations which warrant extreme Jewish influence. Jewish international attachments and with Communist persuasions had successfully insinuated themselves into the German administrative system. In 1933 as the new National Socialist government is removing these Jews from influential positions, the worldwide Jewish boycott of German goods begins.



The German boycott of Jewish business concerns came in April 1933, only after the Jewish declaration of war was announced earlier in March.

Not only did immense Jewish power in the nations that would eventually crush Germany constitute anti-Semitism, but also the role Jews played at Versailles. The most telling piece of evidence that explains the rise of anti-Semitism in Germany, I found in a book called "Germany Must Perish!" written by an American Jew, Theodore N. Kaufman.

Published in 1941 before America's involvement in the war and before the allegation of any German extermination program against Jews, the preface states: "This dynamic volume outlines a comprehensive plan for the extinction of the German nation and total eradication from the earth all her people. Also contained herein is a map illustrating the possible dissection of Germany and the apportionment of her lands"



Picture taken from book concerning proposed land dissection of Germany after the Germans had been wiped out.



Theodore N. Kaufman advocates in this book the genocidal sterilization of all Germans as a quote "Final Solution" in 1941 in his book **Germany Must perish!**

Ironically this was well before any allegation of a *Final Solution* perpetrated by Germans against Jews came about; yet here we have a Jew advocating a **Final Solution** towards Germans. Here are some relevant quotes from this evil book:

I feel no more personal hatred for these people (the Germans) than I might feel for a herd of wild animals or a cluster of poisonous reptiles. They have lost the wish to be human beings. They are but beasts, they must be dealt with as such – p16. There is only one way to frustrate such a desire: the goal of world dominion must be removed from the reach of the German and the only way to accomplish that is to remove the German from the world - p28. The vicious virus of Germanism had been injected into the life stream of the public, and the Germans awaited the epidemic, which they felt must sooner or later infest the world- p45.

A final solution: Let Germany be policed forever by an international armed force? Even if such a huge undertaking were feasible life itself would not have it so. There is, in fine, no other solution except one: That Germany must perish forever from this earth! - p88.

There remains now but to determine the best way, the most practical and expeditious manner in which the ultimate penalty must be levied upon the German nation. Quite naturally, massacre and wholesale execution must be ruled out. In addition to being impractical when applied to a population of some seventy million, such methods are inconsistent with the moral obligations and ethical practices of civilization. There remains the but one mode of ridding the world forever of Germanism – and that is to stem the source from which issue those war lusted souls, by preventing the people of Germany from ever again reproducing their kind. This modern method, known to science as Eugenic sterilization, is at once practical, humane and thorough. Sterilization has become a by word of science, as the best means of ridding the human race of its misfits: the degenerate, the insane the hereditary criminal. – p86-88.



Conclusion

In conclusion it is obvious that Jews themselves provoked the rise of anti-Semitism in Germany. The Nazi propaganda poster insinuating Jewish control over America, Britain and Russia is also closer to the truth than one may think. Nathan Rothschild openly boasted of ruling Britain and denounced the monarchy and presidency as mere puppets. The Federal Reserve, which is the most powerful bank in the United States, is not Federal, as it is privately owned by four Jewish banking families. The Warburg's, Rockefeller's, Schiff's and Rothschild's. – as the saying goes: **Money is power.**

The Jews without a doubt drove the communist juggernaut that took over Russia. Their involvement in Versailles and open declaration of War was undoubtedly the reason for the pogroms that would ensue. The declaration of war clearly warrants a more logical explanation for the internment of Jews in German concentration camps, than them being scapegoated for no reason at all, as is the usual claim. They had openly declared war on Germany and thus this consequence of being interned in camps is completely understandable. Just as the Japanese Americans were put in American concentration camps after the bombing of Pearl Harbour, it is no different that the Jews were put in camps after openly declaring war and international Boycott on Germany. They were seen as a threat to national security just like the Japanese Americans. The disgusting book written by Theodore N Kaufman published in 1941 also warrants a reason for anti-Semitism and ironically called for a **Final Solution**, not of Jews but of Germans. So I have concluded the origins of anti-Semitism in Germany as being provoked by Jews themselves. But what is anti-Semitism?

The phrase "anti-Semite" is merely a propaganda word used to stampede the unthinking public into dismissing the whole subject from their minds without examination: so long as that is tolerated these evils will not only continue, but grow worse.

– Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay, in his book, ***The Nameless War.***

Rationale Question 2:

The Revisionist opinion on the three pillars of Holocaust Orthodoxy is their standpoint relevant & reliable?

The official version of the Holocaust stands on three pillars that collectively support the overall narrative.

1. A deliberate policy on behalf of Hitler called the *Final Solution*, which was a plan to exterminate the Jews;

2. 6 million deaths;

3. Carried out in industrial scale genocide mostly in gas chambers. Any revisionist or person who contests any of these three aspects of the Holocaust is considered a Holocaust denier. Revisionists at large concede the gas chamber story to be wartime propaganda akin to the allegations of Jewish soap and skin lampshades.

Revisionists believe the figure of 6 million to be an irresponsible exaggeration, and finally that no order or decree was ever issued on behalf of the German government to exterminate Jews. I will discuss the three pillars of the Holocaust story and why people contest them as well as the authenticity of the confessions at Nuremburg and eyewitness testimonies.

But first a quote from Jewish Professor Dr Norman Finklestein taken from his book *The Holocaust Industry*:

Much of the literature on Hitler's Final Solution is worthless as scholarship, the field of Holocaust studies is replete with nonsense if not sheer fraud. Given the Nonsense that is turned out daily by the Holocaust industry, the wonder is that there are so few skeptics.

Pillar 1: The 6 Million

Revisionists argue that the figure of 6million is an irresponsible exaggeration and has no real basis in fact; they argue this for a number of reasons. Perhaps the biggest reason is the fact that a Holocaust of 6 million Jews was bemoaned well before Hitler ever set foot in a government. Consult the following excerpts from Newspaper

articles: Consult Origins of the 6 Million Reference sheet in folder.

Here are a few of the many newspapers bemoaning 6 million Jews facing imminent extermination. Note they are all Jewish owned publications printed well before WWII:



Thursday 11 September 1919 – **THE ALLIANCE HERALD**


Dr. Paul Nathan's View of Russian Massacre

STARTLING reports of the condition and future of Russia's 6,000,000 Jews were made on March 12 in Berlin to the annual meeting of the Central Jewish Relief League of Germany by Dr. Paul Nathan, a well-known Berlin publicist, who has returned from an extensive trip through Russia as the special emissary of Jewish philanthropists in England, America, and Germany, to arrange for distribution of the relief fund of \$1,500,000 raised after the massacres last Autumn.

Dr. Nathan paints a horrifying picture of the plight and prospects of his coreligionists, and forecasts at any hour renewed massacres exceeding in extent and terror all that have gone before. He left St. Petersburg with the firm conviction that the Russian Government's studied policy for the "solution" of the Jewish question is systematic and murderous extermination.

March 25 1906 – *THE NEW YORK TIMES*

"Systematic and murderous extermination" Where have you heard that before?



The petition, in expressing the opinion of enlightened Christian leadership in the United States, favoring a larger Jewish immigration into Palestine, stressed the intolerable sufferings of the millions of Jews in "the European holocaust."

May 31st 1936 – 3 years before the outbreak of war "the European holocaust"

Revisionists not only have doubts in the figure of 6 million because it has been used literally tens of times well before the outbreak of WWII in various Jewish owned Newspapers. But the Auschwitz death toll has been revised substantially over the years, yet no adjustments are ever made to the figure of 6 million.



We have the Auschwitz plaque that alleged the Nazis murdered four million Jews here. Somewhat laughingly it was blessed by the Pope. Eventually it would turn out that over 3million were just figments of imagination.



In 1989 the four million plaque was removed largely thanks to German revisionist Ernst Zundel. It was revised to 1.5 million, a deduction of 2.5 million! Yet the overall figure of 6million remains the same?

It's also worth noting the plaque has been revised many more times since 1989 and the alleged death toll is now claimed to be less than 1million. So the facts are there are newspapers printed well before the war bemoaning "6 million", "Holocaust" "extermination" and constant deductions of alleged deaths in the case of Auschwitz, where at least 3million Jews have been taken out of the equation, how could the 6million figure possibly stay the same? As revisionist Mark Weber stated on the Montel Williams Show:

Every Jewish person who died in the second world war of whatever cause is considered a victim of the Holocaust. This includes Jews who were killed in the Allied bombing campaigns. The six million figure is symbolic in nature it's repeated over and over, all sorts of things can change but the 6million will stay the same.

It has no basis in fact whatsoever.

Also another piece of evidence revisionists point to is the following statistics. These statistics do

not reflect a deduction of 6million in the world Jewish population.

Taken from Dr Frederick Töben's documentary **Judea declares war on Germany:**

World Jewish Population before and after WWII:

World Almanac 1940 p129 =15,319,359

World Almanac 1949 p289 = 15,713,638

World Almanac 1996 p646 = 14,117,000

American Jewish Committee Bureau of the Synagogue Council 1939 = 15,600,000

World Almanac USA 1947 = 15,690,000

Stat. Handbook of Council of Churches 1951 = 15,300,000

Pillar 2 – The Gas Chambers

Revisionists argue that the buildings alleged to be gas chambers are simply unfit to carry out genocide. In 1988 during the infamous trial in Canada where Ernst Zundel a German revisionist took the Holocaust to court, he hired an American court-certified gas execution technology expert by the name of Frederick A Leuchter. Leuchter was a court certified expert in gas execution technology, he even designed and built three gas chambers in the United States used for the execution of criminals. He believed in the Holocaust but when he visited the alleged chambers in Poland and published his findings in **The Leuchter Report**



he converted his opinion and it was his expert conclusion that these buildings "could not have sustained gassings". Leuchter also did a number of scientific studies where he analyzed the

brickwork and took samples from the alleged gas chambers at Auschwitz and also brickwork from the disinfestation gas chamber used for killing lice and had them independently analysed by a chemical laboratory.

To the left, we have Germar Rudolf standing outside of the disinfestation chamber. The cyanide concentration was 144 milligrams per 1kg of brickwork. A colossal concentration the tell-tale blue signs of Zyklon-B evident but remember these chambers disinfested clothing. It is not alleged anyone was killed in them.

The work of German industrial chemist, Germar Rudolf, in his **The Rudolf Report** of 1993 greatly augments what **The Leuchter Report** pioneered.



Here we have Germar Rudolf inside of the disinfestation chamber where again signs and traces of Zyklon B are evident. 144 milligrams per 1kg of brickwork to be precise.

Note: disinfestation chamber used Zyklon B which is also alleged to have been used in the homicidal gas chamber.

There is no scientific trace of Zyklon B whatsoever, contrary to the 144 milligrams per 1kg of brickwork found in the disinfestation chambers. There are also no blue stains. Holocaust historians argue that it somehow dissipated over time, which is a chemical impossibility.



Here is the alleged homicidal gas chamber of Auschwitz-Stammlager

In an interview Leuchter was asked the following:
Interviewer: *What aside from the samples convinced you that these facilities could not have been used then or now as execution facilities?*

Leuchter: *You must have gas tight doors, no windows, means of getting the gas in, means of getting the gas out. If those persons operating the facilities didn't gas themselves to death at the same time the executes died they certainly would have been blown to bits by the gas exploding from the heating of a light bulb or the gas approaching and getting into the crematory furnace. Were talking about brick and mortar buildings, without heat, with none explosion proof lighting, without gas tight doors and in some cases no doors at all. And with crematories that opened very close or adjacent to the facility. I mean it's just ludicrous to consider that these facilities could have been used as gas chambers.*



Leuchter is standing in the 'gas chamber' of Auschwitz where a bright none explosion proof light is shining. Zyklon-B is a highly sensitive substance that when exposed to heat explodes.



Here Leuchter is waving a handkerchief through a window that is not sealed off at all, it has no glass or bars to prevent inmates or gas from escaping.

Here is the none-airtight gas chamber flimsy wooden door, which has a



2inch gap between the door and ground. The locking mechanism is on the inside and it has a glass window, which would have surely been smashed as a gassing allegedly took 10-15 minutes.

Here we have the door to the disinfestation gas chambers used to combat the typhus epidemic. To the contrary they are airtight, are not made of flimsy wood but sustainable steel and lock from the outside not inside.



Here we have the holes in the roof where Zyklon -B pellets were allegedly dropped. Revisionists argue they were crudely smashed in after the war by the soviets. Ernst Zundel at his trial presented several allied Arial photographs taken by American bombers and there were no holes in the roof and no chimney during the war. Therefor no means of getting the gas in or out. The Auschwitz chimney built after the war, confirmed by aerial photographs. It is not even connected to the

building and thusly does not function. Which makes a mockery of people who spoke of huge bellowing flames as depicted in movies.



Fredrick Töben points to the peephole in the gas chamber door at Kremas I where, according to Prof Deborah Lipstadt, guards watched how Jews died.

This is why the revisionists deny gas chambers. There is no forensic trace of Zyklon B unlike the disinfestation chambers; the door is not airtight unlike the disinfestation chambers. The chimney is not connected to the building and the holes were added after the war, therefore there were no means of getting gas in or out. It is also worth noting that at the end of the war it was alleged that 22 camps had gas chambers in them. The 16 camps liberated by the allies were all rebuked due to

the fact all camps post war were open for research. Unlike the other 6 including Auschwitz that were liberated by the soviets and not open for research.

(Document)

ALLIED MILITARY POLICE HQ.

VIENNA 1.10.1948

MEMO Nr: 31/48

The Allied Committee of Inquiry has to date proven that no poison gas was ever used to kill prisoners in the following concentration camps Bergen-Belsen, Buchenwald, Dachau, Flossenbug, Gross-Rosen, Mauthausen and satellite camps Natzweiler, Neuengamme, Niederhagen (Wewelsburg), Ravensbruck, Sachsenhausen, Stutthof, Thereisenstadt. In all cases where gassings were alleged, it could be proven that torture had been used to extract confessions and witnesses have lied. Any former inmate who, during their debriefing continues to allege that Poison gas was used to murder people (in particular Jews), are to be reported to this office and if they insist on lying further, they are to be charged with perjury.

Signed Major Miller

Commanding Officer

Allied Military Police Vienna:


Witnessed by:

Lieutenant Lachout MP

The remaining 6 gas chambers were in Poland and under soviet control; they were not allowed to be researched. This includes Auschwitz.

Also consult David Cole reference sheet for more information on gas chambers.

Pillar 3 – The Final Solution



The fact is no final solution order has ever been found despite the seizure of millions of tones of intact German documents at wars end. The Holocausters argue because the Nazis destroyed them, while the revisionists believe the documents never existed. David Irving made a compelling argument when he referenced the daily reports of Rudolf Hoess Commandant at Auschwitz. - Consult David Irving reference sheet. Revisionists also argue that the final solution to the Jewish question is taken out of context and it referred to the removal of Jews from the political, financial and media related spheres of German influence via either peaceful emigration or forced resettlement as was the case in the transfer agreement. Where Hitler collaborated with the

Zionists and deported many thousands of German Jews to Palestine with their wealth intact.

The documentary evidence overwhelmingly evinces that the official policy of the Reich government was, from the very beginning, the removal of the Jews from public life and eventually from the entire German sphere of influence, via either peaceful emigration or forced resettlement. **The Transfer Agreement**, the Evian Conference, the minutes of the Wansee Conference all attest to this. A very significant document in this regard is the Luther Memorandum (an authentic and authoritative document) of August 1942 (when the alleged industrial scale genocide was supposed to be in full swing), which stated: "It is the unalterable decision of the Fuehrer that AFTER THE WAR, the Jews will have to leave Europe."

The Holocaust promoters try and rebuttal this by quoting Mein Kampf and stating it's clear that Hitler's intention was to kill the Jews by reading his book. Regardless of what you think there is no conclusive evidence linking Hitler to a final solution. Dr. David Duke makes an interesting argument in his book Jewish Supremacism Chapter: A Holocaust Inquiry when mentioning the Red Cross.

"Jewish leaders have directed anger towards the International Red Cross for not revealing the Holocaust or doing anything to stop it. They point out that international teams of Red Cross inspectors visited and inspected all the major German concentration camps, including Auschwitz, right up to the end of the war. On one hand, the Holocausters expect us to believe that the Germans were murdering tens of thousands of people a day in a super-secret plan that they dared not mention even in their top-secret orders. On the other hand, they expect us to believe that the Nazis would let the International Red Cross inspect those same camps and interview the prisoners during the same period they were supposedly killing many thousands each day. Here are excerpts from a telling U.S.A article: Quote:

"Many Jewish leaders and Holocaust experts long have contended that the Red Cross failed spectacularly during World War II – mostly by not raising an alarm about the Nazi atrocities –and

compounded the failure later by refusing to acknowledge it..."

In fact, in a Nov. 22, 1944, letter to U.S State Department officials about the visit, the Red Cross said: "(We) had not been able to discover any trace of installations for exterminating civilian prisoners..."

In this case, the documents show, the Red Cross failed at every possible turn. Not only had Red Cross officials neglected to grasp the situation, but they then passed along bad information to the Allies. A tendency to disbelieve horror stories. Several Red Cross documents suggest that the organization was reluctant, at least initially, to put much faith in tales and rumors' of Nazi brutality. Like the general public the Red Cross officials didn't comprehend the true extent of the Nazis' crimes...

"There is no doubt that the Red Cross let itself be used by the Nazis, "says Radu Ioanid, director of the Holocaust Survivors Registry at U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum. "There is no doubt they were fooled."

Do the promoters of the Holocaust story really believe the members of the International Red Cross were part of an anti-Semitic, Nazi, Holocaust conspiracy? Or did their members, in spite of visiting the camps during the war and delivering to prisoners 973,000 packages and parcels (as their own records show), simply see no evidence at all of mass gassings or burnings or "extermination facilities" or for that matter, any effort on the part of the Nazis to purposefully exterminate the Jewish people?

Revisionist Mark Weber has the following to say about the Final Solution:

*The Allies confiscated a tremendous quantity of German documents dealing with Germany's wartime Jewish policy, which was sometimes referred to as the **Final Solution**. But not a single German document has ever been found that orders or even refers to an extermination program ... To the contrary, the documents clearly show that the German **Final Solution** policy was one of emigration and deportation, not extermination.* – from his debate with Michael Shermer.

Note: German documents refer to the **Final Solution** as the **Territoriale Endlösung**, which was one of deportation and emigration but not of mass extermination.

How do the revisionists explain German confessions and Eyewitness testimonies?

Confessions

Revisionists argue that the confessions were extracted by torture and have therefore no credibility. This proved to be the case in the 16 western camps alleged to have had homicidal gas chambers, where it was concluded the Germans were tortured to extract confessions. (People were executed under the premise of gassing people at these camps) The Allied police Hq document previously cited stated, "In all cases where gassings were alleged, it could be proven that torture had been used to extract confessions and witnesses have lied". (Referring to the 16 western camps)

A very interesting and insightful piece of information concerning the Nuremburg trials where Germans confessed to gassing was the recollections of a Judge at Nuremburg Edward Van Roden. The following excerpt is from **A Holocaust Inquiry** – consult Dr David Duke Reference Sheet)

"Judge Edward Van Roden was a member of the Simpson Army Commission that investigated the methods used at the Dachau concentration camp. In the January 9, 1949, Washington Daily News and in the January 23, 1949, London Sunday Pictorial he told of some examples of the use of torture.

Quote: from Judge L Van Roden -

The investigators, "he said, "would put a black hood over the accused's head and then punch him in the face with brass knuckles, kick him and beat him with the rubber hoses.... All but two of the Germans, in the 139 cases we investigated had been kicked in the testicles beyond repair."

Much of the "Holocaust proof" offered today by historians is the "confessions" extracted at the war crimes trials. I thought, Can we trust the "confessions" of those whose testicles had been damaged during interrogation? I was also shocked when I learned that Russian KGB officials, who themselves had committed extensive war crimes against humanity, sat as judges.


I found out that Iowa Supreme Court justice Charles F. Wenersturm had resigned his appointment in disgust at the proceedings. (At Nuremburg) He charged that the prosecution prevented the defense from obtaining evidence and preparing their cases that the trials were not trying to create a new legal principle but were

motivated solely by hatred of Germans. Additionally he said that 90 percent of the Nuremburg Court consisted of persons who, on political and racial grounds, were biased against the defense. He contended that Jews, many of whom were refugees from Germany and newly made "naturalized" American citizens, dominated the Nuremburg Courts and were more interested in revenge than justice.

Quote: Judge Charles F. Wenersturm -

The entire atmosphere is unwholesome... Lawyers, clerks, interpreters and researchers were employed who became Americans only in recent years, whose backgrounds were embedded in Europe's hatreds and prejudices.

Hoess – commandant of Auschwitz, at Nuremburg also confessed to things that were impossible. For example, he alleged that after hundreds of victims were gassed with hydrogen cyanide, workers immediately entered the nonvented rooms and removed the bodies without wearing gas masks. He described how they smoked and ate snacks as they performed their task. By comparison, in modern times, the State of California vents its gas chambers hours after an execution. Even then workers cannot enter the room without gas masks and body suits to avoid the toxic substance that can kill just by entering the pores. Anyone in the camps who immediately entered a large room saturated with deadly hydrogen cyanide that had killed hundreds of people who have quickly found himself among the victims. In his confession, Hoess also alluded to a concentration camp that did not even exist – Wolzek.



Rupert Butler, in his anti-Nazi and anti-Hoess book **Legions of Death**, vividly describes Hoess capture. Here is Butler's account of Hoess torture and arrest:



At 5pm on 11 March 1946, Frau Hoess opened her door to six intelligence specialists in British Uniform, most of them tall and menacing all of them practiced in the more sophisticated techniques of sustained and merciless investigation... We discovered later that he had lost the cyanide pill most of them carried. Not that he would have had much chance to use it because we had rammed a torch (flashlight) into his mouth... Clarke yelled: "What is your name?" With each answer of "Fritz Lang," Clarke's hand crashed into the face of the prisoner. The fourth time that happened, Hoess broke and admitted who he was.... The admission suddenly unleashed the loathing of the Jewish Sergeants in the arresting party... The prisoner was torn from the top bunk, the pajama ripped from his body. He was then dragged naked to one of the slaughter tables, where it seemed to Clark the blows and

screams were endless. Finally a medical officer urged the captain; "Call them off, unless you want to take back a corpse..." [Hoess] was dragged back to Clarke's car, where the sergeant poured a substantial slug of whisky down his throat. Then Hoess tried to sleep. Clarke thrust his service stick under the man's eyelids and ordered in German: "Keep your pig eyes open, you swine..." The party arrived back at Heide around three in the morning. The snow was swirling still, but the blanket was torn from Hoess and he was made to walk completely nude through the prison yard to his cell. It took three days to get a coherent statement from him.

Now considering that the Germans were tortured which is not denied in order to obtain confessions can this really be considered proof of gassings? Hoess said 4 million Jews were gassed at Auschwitz, which is no longer accepted to be fact. He confessed to Jews being made into soap, candles and even lampshades, these claims have since been scientifically debunked by revisionists. He also alluded to a death camp that did not even exist. Judge L Van Roden described vividly how horrible the Germans were treated; another Judge at Nuremburg Charles F. Wenersturm even resigned at the proceedings because he was so disgusted.

This is why revisionists pay no heed to the confessions extracted at Nuremburg.

Eyewitness's testimonies

Revisionists pay little attention to the eyewitness testimonies it was David Irving who stated that in a court of law a judge would always prefer forensic evidence to eyewitness testimony. He is referencing the forensic analysis done by revisionists such as Fred Leuchter and Germar Rudolf from both the "Rudolf Report" and "Leuchter Report" which prove conclusively that none of these building had been exposed to Zyklon-B. Revisionists question the credibility of the eyewitnesses because literally thousands claimed to have seen Jews made into lampshades, candles and soap which all turned out to be lies. I can also quote **MEMO Nr: 31/48 from the Allied Military Police HQ which states** "Any former inmate who, during their debriefing continues to allege that Poison gas was used to murder people (in particular Jews), are to be reported to this office and if they insist on lying

further, they are to be charged with perjury" Also once one factors in the Holocaust pensions and reparations which equate to over \$1000 a month, you could argue they are essentially being paid to agree with the story. One cannot deny the Jews were persecuted so lying could be a way of getting back at the Germans. However some



inmates contested the official Holocaust story. Such as Paul Rassinier a French political opponent of the Nazis.

The following is taken from Dr David Duke reference sheet.

"I read the interesting views of Paul Rassinier, a Holocaust survivor who spoke out against what he called the lies of the Holocaust. A French political opponent of the

Nazis, Rassinier suffered greatly during the war. In a number of concentration camps during the war, he never saw any evidence of human gas chambers or any program to exterminate the Jews. After his liberation, he read sensationalized accounts that he knew were false. Although he had little respect for his German captors, he felt it was his ethical duty to tell the truth about the camps and refute the exaggerated and false claims being made in the world's press. In addition to the poignant accounts of his own experiences and observations, he began to research the entire issue after the war. Rassinier contended that the death toll in the camps was

far lower than alleged and that the deaths were primarily caused by the poor conditions of the camps – the unintended effect of the losses and devastation of a nation crushed in a catastrophic war. He also called the allegations of gas chambers as "classic examples of war propaganda that had no basis in fact." Rassinier had nothing to gain personally in postwar France by taking such an unpopular position. In fact, he had much to lose, and after suffering all the hardships and privations of the German concentration camps, he then suffered intense persecution for his courageous writings."

Hypothesis/Conclusion of rationale question 1/2

– Why people deny the Holocaust

Revisionists concede that there were concentration camps, labor camps, and ghettos established by the Third Reich into which Jews were placed. And they concede that, yes, anti-Jewish laws were enacted by the Third Reich, and that Jews faced a certain level of persecution, especially after the commencement of Operation Barbarossa in June of 1941, and it all started in 1933 after the Jewish declaration of war. What they question is the dogmatic and unfounded claim that 6 million Jews were killed by "Nazi Germany" in systematic, industrial scale genocide, mostly in gas chambers. The reasoning behind this questioning is entirely sound:

The documentary evidence overwhelmingly evinces that the official policy of the Reich government was, from the very beginning, the removal of the Jews from public life and eventually from the entire German sphere of influence, via either peaceful emigration or forced resettlement. The Transfer Agreement, the Evian Conference, the minutes of the Wansee Conference all attest to this. A very significant document in this regard is the Luther Memorandum (an authentic and authoritative document) of August 1942 (when the alleged industrial scale genocide was supposed to be in full swing), which stated: "It is the unalterable decision of the Fuehrer that AFTER THE WAR, the Jews will have to leave Europe."

The physical evidence for the existence and operation of "gas chambers" is nil, as are the traces of huge amounts of human remains in numbers anywhere near approaching the 6 million number. No mass graves commensurate with the official narrative have been discovered. To have carried out such a massive genocide while leaving virtually no such enormous mass graves or huge traces of human remains behind is an impossibility. Human remains are incredibly

difficult to make disappear entirely; the savage Jewish Bolsheviks, who murdered the Czar and his entire family, burned the bodies, dumped them into vats of acid and threw them down a mineshaft. Yet, nearly 80 years later, there were enough traceable remains to positively identify them.

The entire foundation of the Holocaust story falls on the confessions and eyewitness testimonies. It is not denied that the Germans were tortured for confessions as the book "Legions of Death" openly brags about it. The eyewitnesses in the revisionist's eyes have no credibility and they were not even cross-examined at Nuremberg. There were hundreds of thousands of repentant eyewitnesses to Witches' Sabbaths during the middle Ages. Likewise, there are even more eyewitness accounts to personal contact with extraterrestrials.

However, such personal accounts are absolutely worthless if there is no physical or documentary evidence to corroborate them. As is the case with the Holocaust.

Of course many Jews died and suffered during the war, and during many camp liberations hundreds (not millions) of dead skeletal Jews were found. But despite hundreds of autopsies done by the Allies not one autopsy proved anyone was killed by poison gas. The following is from Dr Fredrick Töben reference sheet:

"Most of us have seen the terrible scenes taken by the Allies of piles of dead emaciated corpses in the camps awaiting burial. What you are not told is that teams of pathologists followed the invasion forces into Europe and did the Autopsies on thousands of these dead bodies. Dr. Larson, pathologist with the Judge Advocate Generals Office led these teams and reported; "Not One case of death by Poison Gas was found!" Dr John E Gordon MP.P.h.D Harvard Professor was also

with the US Forces. He reported, "Most deaths in concentration camps were caused, not by starvation nor maltreatment but by Typhus"

Revisionists believe that the Allies are equally responsible for the state the Jews were found in during camp liberations. In the last months of the war Germany was being bombed into the stone age, in Operation Gomorrah carried out by the RAF and American air force, supply lines and pharmaceutical factories were deliberately bombed to sever the food supplies. Thusly the Jews were starving in the camps, but at that point all of Germany was starving. The Nazis could not feed their soldiers or civilians let alone their prisoners.

The Revisionist don't deny Jews suffered or died, they deny the 6 million as a irresponsible exaggeration and believe the true figure to be in the hundreds of thousands, dead from influences of war. Allegations of a Hitler order and Gas chambers are also denied. They have scientific studies and documents to corroborate their viewpoint. Instead of having there questions answered they are slandered, called ant-Semitic, racists, neo-Nazis, terrorists etc.. Every revisionist I cited in my reference sheets was never accused of anti-Semitism before they investigated the Holocaust. The Jewish revisionists are the exception to the previous mentioned slanderous comments David Cole and Dr Norman Finklestein have instead been labeled by the media as self hating Jews. In my opinion the revisionists are not motivated by racism or hate, or some crazy revival of a fourth Reich as the media claims, they just want the truth. If it were not for revisionists we would still accept the 16 western gas chambers to be authentic, we would still accept the allegations of skin lampshades and Jewish soap and we would still accept that 4 million Jews were killed at Auschwitz.

The holocaust revisionists are categorically the greatest researchers I have ever read. And yet when their truth is spoken, it is met by the lowliest of comments, devoid of any content, only filled with hate, blindness and a brainwashed kind of stubbornness. To call them conspiracy theorists is equally ridiculous. Conspiracy theorists are usually hysterical and rabid, while academics are

usually calm and factual. Which side is hysterical and rabid here?

It's additionally strange to call holocaust revisionists "conspiracy theorists" when the believers believe in a Mass German Conspiracy to commit genocide and then remove all the evidence. It's the revisionists that are calm and factual. It's the faith filled exterminationists that get hysterical and rabid about their conspiracy theory. Why does the ADL a Jewish organization – Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai B'rith, which has a \$37million annual budget in the United States devoted to defaming those who criticize Israel or question parts of the Holocaust tale instruct its spokesmen never to debate any aspect of the Holocaust? The revisionists welcome debate, why is this met with slander?

*

When the debate is lost, slander becomes the tool of the loser – Socrates

*

I'm at the point where, these petty historical debates can be resolved in one paragraph by looking at the historical record. The whole holocaust debate is put to rest when one considers articles written over several decades before WWII even started, in various mainstream newspapers, in black and white, that 6 million Jews were being persecuted, starved and rounded up in Eastern Europe and Russia. Now, that's historical evidence that nobody can refute, the New York Times, the Montreal gazette, etc.. All reporting the same 6 million number in the 1910s and 1920's and 1930's. That doesn't just prove the 6 million number is a hoax [to this very day], it proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that there's a highly coordinated and sinister conspiracy behind mainstream media, government, finances and world Jewry that funnels money back to the cabal that created it. The scientific analysis of the gas chamber is conclusive, irrefutable and in my mind the smoking gun of the whole ordeal. The revisionist viewpoint is therefor justified, reliable and relevant.

Deniers? How can we deny that which never happened – Dr Frederick Töben.

This concludes Student SR's submitted assignment, which excludes his work on the Anne Frank material as mentioned in his introduction – ed. AI.

CODOH
Treblinka: Hitler's Killing Machine
Published on Thursday, May 22 of 2014

Chancellor John G. Roberts Jr.
Smithsonian Institute
PO Box 37012
SI Building, Room 153, MRC 010
Washington, D.C. 20013-7012
E-mail: info@si.edu
To Smithsonian Channel:
contact@smithsoniannetworks.com

May 21, 2014

Dear Chancellor Roberts:

I have long enjoyed Smithsonian magazine and admired the Smithsonian Institute. Therefore I feel constrained to comment on the Smithsonian program "Treblinka: Hitler's Killing Machine."

One of the promotional clips was entitled, "Excavating a Secret Gas Chamber: The watershed discovery of Star of David tiles confirms the existence of Treblinka's gas chambers and becomes the key to reconstructing the death camp's sinister workings." In fact, the film confused or conflated the Star of David with the trademark of the 125-year old Dzielowski Lange porcelain factory in Poland. The mark is actually a heraldic symbol known as a pierced mullet star.

A second promotional clip was entitled, "The Bones the Nazis Hid: A forensic archaeologist who investigates modern-day murders uncovers a mass grave that's remained a secret since the Holocaust." It turns out that the "expert" forensic archaeologist was excavating at the edge of a known and well-marked Christian cemetery. It seems that a day's digging in three trenches produced 40 bone fragments. Your expert was unclear on whose bones these were, how long they had been buried, how many bodies were found (anywhere from 2 to 40 is possible), what killed them (odd to overlook for a forensic specialist), and whether any of the bones had been exposed to cremation, (something very relevant to investigations of Treblinka).

Without further ado, the bones were put back into a hole and we are informed that this "proves" that Treblinka I was "much more than just a labor camp."

The program would have been a farce if it were not for the profound seriousness of events at Treblinka. At best, this recent "forensic investigation" revisited the Soviet efforts of August 1944 and the investigation of Judge Zdzisław Łukaszewicz in November 1945 and August 1946. This program does no credit to the Smithsonian Institute. I hope the Smithsonian will act to either correct the errors in "Hitler's Killing Machine" or disassociate itself from this Show. It has a moral obligation to do so.

Sincerely yours,
David Merlin

Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust
P.O. Box 439016

San Ysidro, California 92143

Email: bradley1930@yahoo.com

Blog: www.codohfounder.com

Comment by [Fredrick Toben](#)
May 23, 2014 at 5:29 pm

Indeed, Eric Hunt's video is definitive

<http://trutube.tv/video/22803/Holocaust-Hoax-Eric-Hunt-on-Treblinka> and follows what Richard Krege's 1999 ground-penetrating-radar research found: there is no forensic proof of Treblinka having been a site where over 800,000 Jews were murdered. The logistics of this mammoth task is never addressed: undress-gas-bury-exhume-burn.

This physical task allegedly took place and it is possible to work out how long it would take to complete it.

Hence, my conclusion that whenever Treblinka is mentioned to bolster the extermination thesis: >>**The Holocaust has no reality in space and time – only in memory**<<.

<http://codohfounder.com/treblinka-hitlerskilling-machine/comment-page-1/#comment-5432>

Holocaust Denial Movement Welcomes New Eric Hunt Film
Anti Defamation League, MAY 21, 2014


Eric Hunt, a Holocaust denier known for [attacking Nobel Peace Prize winner Elie Wiesel](#), released a new Holocaust denial film on May 11 titled *The Treblinka Archaeology Hoax*. Hunt's new film, available on YouTube, is a supposed "debunking" of the documentary *Treblinka: Hitler's Killing Machine*, which aired on the [Smithsonian Channel](#) in the U.S. and [Channel 5](#) in the UK.

In *The Treblinka Archaeology Hoax*, Hunt uses clips of Holocaust survivors' testimonies from the USC Shoah Foundation Institute for Visual History and Education (established by Steven Spielberg in 1994) to make his claim that gas chambers at Treblinka and Majdanek, two camps Hunt visited in making his film, were not used to systematically kill Jews but rather were used as "life-saving shower rooms."

Hunt similarly used such clips from survivors in his previous films, including [The Jewish Gas Chamber Hoax](#), which created buzz within the Holocaust denial movement in March. He describes the USC Shoah Foundation Institute for Visual History and Education as a "treasure trove for revisionists."

Hunt promoted his film on the April 27 episode of *Spingola Speaks*, an online radio program hosted by anti-Semitic conspiracy theorist Deanna Spingola. Hunt elaborated on claims he presented in *The Treblinka Archaeology Hoax*, stating that

the Holocaust is "a blatant hoax...a damned dirty hoax; it's a big lie."



While Hunt claims the Holocaust is a "hoax," he describes the death camps as "work camps" where the Jews got what they deserved. Hunt states that the Jews in the camps "were living off interest rates, usury, etc. and if you read *Mein Kampf*, of course, basically Hitler said that they should be working. They shouldn't be living off people they're scamming."


In the interview, Hunt also calls for action against "the Holocaust industry," stating, "I don't understand why we don't sue

some of them. Why don't we bring them to court and have them stand trial, have them explain themselves?" He claims he has "actually sued Spielberg over his Holocaust lies." Hunt also asks listeners to contact Caroline Sturdy Colls, the forensic archeologist who appears in *Treblinka: Hitler's Killing Machine*, and to "hold her accountable for her hoaxing."

In both *The Treblinka Archaeology Hoax* and in his interview with Spingola, Hunt alleges the supposed Jewish-controlled media has manipulated public opinion to believe in the Holocaust hoax as part of a larger conspiracy. Hunt also said that his next "documentary" will likely focus on this alleged Jewish control of the media and will "blow the lid off of this Jewish Hollywood mafia involved in a lot of this propaganda."

http://blog.adl.org/antisemitism/holocaustdenialmovementwelcomesnewerichuntfilm?utm_source=rss&utm_medium=rss&utm_campaign=holocaust-denial-movement-welcomes-new-eric-hunt-film

Eric Hunt: The Jewish Gas Chamber Hoax



As of 2014, Steven Spielberg's *Survivors of the Shoah Visual History Foundation* has recorded nearly 52,000 interviews in 56 countries and in 32 languages with so-called "Holocaust survivors." This new documentary by Eric Hunt uses some of them to prove that the orthodox tale about the alleged extermination camp at Treblinka is untenable. It is a Revisionist documentary presenting the latest evidence debunking the greatest hoax in human history: "The Holocaust" – that is to say, the fraudulent claim that six million Jews were murdered by Germans, mostly in "Gas Chambers disguised as Shower Rooms." Never before seen evidence helps prove that Treblinka was a transit camp, far from the "Pure Extermination Camp" myth currently promoted by the Holocaust religion. For the first time ever, listen to Jews themselves who were transited through Treblinka describe the process of being transferred from Treblinka to other camps, along with hundreds and thousands of other men women and children. Documentation is presented which affirms that Treblinka was no top secret "pure extermination center," but a simple transit camp where some Jews even took real showers in order to keep them alive. The absurdity of diesel gassings, non-existent mass graves, forced confessions, and more are covered in *The Jewish Gas Chamber Hoax*, a new documentary from the filmmaker of *The Last Days of the Big Lie*.

Anti-Muslim Group Uses Hitler Imagery In Latest Anti-Muslim Ad
ADL, MAY 23, 20140



AFDI's "Islamic Jew-Hatred" ad in Washington D.C.

[Pamela Geller](#) and her anti-Muslim organization, the American Freedom Defense Initiative (AFDI), has taken its hateful anti-Muslim message once again to the public in the form of paid advertisements on public transportation.

The latest controversy is just one of many inflammatory advertising campaigns sponsored by Geller and her organization in a bid to influence public discourse on the difficult issues of religion and the Middle East conflict.

Geller's newest ad campaign, which began appearing on buses in the Washington D.C. Metro area, states, "Islamic Jew-hatred: It's in the Quran." The ad also includes a 1941 photo of the Mufti of Jerusalem, Haj Amin el-Husseini, having a conversation with Adolf Hitler.

Geller has a long history of promoting her bigoted anti-Muslim views through inflammatory messages in public spaces, stretching back at least to 2010. These ad campaigns are designed to expose Americans to what Geller and her organization consider the biggest threats posed by Islam.

Some notable examples include:

* **July 2012:** Geller submitted an ad that ran on 75 Metro North train stations stating, "19,250 deadly Islamic attacks since 9/11/01 and counting. It's not Islamophobia. It's Islamorealism."

* **September 2012:** After a New York federal district court ruled that the New York City MTA violated Geller's First Amendment right, AFDI's "Savage" ad appeared in subway stations. The inflammatory ad read: "In any war between the civilized man and the savage, support the civilized man. Support Israel. Defeat Jihad."

* **2010 "Honor Killing Awareness Campaign":** A series of ads were placed on buses and taxi cab tops purportedly to address young Muslim women facing threats from their family for rejecting Muslim values or becoming "too Americanized." The campaigns appeared under several names, including "Refuge From Islam" and "Leave Islam Safely."

[The Anti-Defamation League \(ADL\)](#) has criticized Geller and AFDI for "consistently vilifying the Islamic faith under the guise of fighting radical Islam;" however, acknowledges that the ads are protected political speech under the First Amendment. [ADL is also on the record](#) stating that "Pro-Israel doesn't mean anti-Muslim. And support for Israel is not built on anti-Muslim and anti-Arab stereotypes."

Geller's latest ad campaign is in response to an April 2014 anti-Israel ad by the virulently anti-Israel group [American Muslims for Palestine \(AMP\)](#). AMP also has a history of promoting extreme anti-Israel views through inflammatory ads on public transportation aimed at demonizing and delegitimizing Israel. In March 2013, on the eve of the Jewish holiday of Passover, AMP unveiled an ad on several [New York City Metro North](#) stations falsely accusing Israel of "apartheid."

<http://blog.adl.org/>

If anyone takes on the challenge that such a campaign creates, then it would be easy to do – forget the Apartheid factor, start with the racist quotes contained in the *Jerusalem/Babylonian TALMUD!* – ed. AI.

Woman who MADE UP entire bestselling holocaust memoir is forced to pay back \$22.5 million after her lies are revealed 17 years later... and she's not even Jewish

***Misha Defonseca won \$22.5 in a copyright case against her publisher**

***It was later revealed that her bestselling holocaust memoir was mostly fictional**

***Defonseca has been ordered to forfeit the money by a Massachusetts court**

A woman who invented a wild tale of survival during the holocaust has been ordered to forfeit the \$22.5 million judgement she won from her publishers by a Massachusetts court.

Published in 1997, *Misha: A Mémoire of the Holocaust Years* is about a Jewish girl from Brussels who walked across Europe by herself after her parents were seized by Nazis.

Misha Defonseca, 76, and her ghostwriter Vera Lee won \$32.4 million from publisher Jane Daniel and Mt Ivy Press in a copyright registration claim in 1998 in which Daniel was found to have conducted 'highly improper representations and activities.'



Storyteller: Misha Defonseca admitted to making up most of her memoir about her childhood as a Jewish orphan in WWII

Daniel appealed, but in 2005 the Massachusetts Appeals Court upheld the judgement.

However, during the appeal process, inconsistencies in Defonseca's outlandish tale began to attract the suspicion of Daniel, journalists, forensic genealogists, reports the [Courthouse News Service](#).

In her memoir, Defonseca wrote that she trekked 1,900 miles across Europe in search of her parents. She spent months living in the forest with a pack of wolves, hiding from Nazis and in one encounter, stabbed a Nazi rapist to death.

These events all occurred when she was aged between seven and 11 years old, according to the book.

Following her trial loss, Daniel set out to determine whether Defonseca's tale was truthful.

She eventually located a document that included Defonseca's maiden name - which in the book was Levy - and her date and place of birth.



One of the pack: In her memoir, Defonseca wrote that she lived for a time with a pack of wolves while hiding from Nazis

Her real name, Daniel found, was Monica Ernestine Josephine De Wael, and she was not Jewish.

During the time she was supposed to have been communing with wolves and killing Nazis, Defonseca was in actual fact enrolled in a Brussels school.

Defonseca, now living in Massachusetts, has admitted that her best-selling book was an elaborate fantasy she kept repeating, even as the book was translated into 18 languages and made into a feature film in France.


'This story is mine. It is not actually reality, but my reality, my way of surviving,' Defonseca said in a statement given by her lawyers to The Associated Press.

'I ask forgiveness to all who felt betrayed. I beg you to put yourself in my place, of a four-year-old girl who was very lost,' the statement said.

She admitted that her parents were arrested when she was four and she was taken care of by her grandfather and uncle.

Defonseca said she was poorly treated by her adopted family, called a 'daughter of a traitor' because of her parents' role in the resistance, which she said led her to 'feel Jewish.'

She said there were moments when she 'found it difficult to differentiate between what was real and what was part of my imagination.'



Bestseller: The book was not a huge hit in the U.S. but sold millions in Canada and Europe

Defonseca had been asked to write the book by publisher Jane Daniel in the 1990s, after Daniel heard the writer tell the story in a Massachusetts synagogue.

Daniel and Defonseca fell out over profits received from the best-selling book, which led to a lawsuit. In 2005, a Boston court ordered Daniel to pay Defonseca and her ghost writer Vera Lee \$32.4 million, of which Defonseca received \$22.5 million.

In 2012, the Superior Court found that Defonseca had committed a fraud and set aside the verdict. She appealed and on April 29, Judge Marc Kantrowitz, in what he described as 'the third, and hopefully the last' opinion on the matter, agreed that the truth of Defonseca's story would have made a difference to the jury's deliberations.

Judge Kantrowitz noted that Defonseca and Daniel had both acted 'highly inappropriately,' and expressed the Court's hope that 'the saga has now come to an end,' reports [Mondag](#).

Read more:

***[Bizarre Holocaust Lies Support Publisher's Win](#)**

***[United States: \\$22.5 Million Verdict In Copyright Registration Case Vacated Due To Fake Holocaust Memoir](#)**

More...

***[I wore a blonde wig to dodge the KGB': How Viktoria Mullova gave her Russian minders the slip - and went on to become one of the world's most sought-after violinists](#)**

***[How the Queen Mother did her bit during the Great War as a 14-year-old when her family's estate was turned into a convalescent home for wounded soldiers](#)**

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article2625612/Woman-MADE-UP-entire-bestselling-holocaust-memoir-forced-pay-22-5-million-publisher-revealed-shes-not-Jewish.html>



Hitler in Argentina ... a book review by Fredrick Töben...

Preliminary Comment

For those who uncritically want to believe what is in this book, then its content is a God-send; for those who want to hate the information in the book, it is a nightmare; for those who want to know the facts, well, there are precedents of such survivor books in the form of Holocaust Survivor stories, for example, the Misha Defonseca case has just come to public attention again

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article2625612/Who-man-MADE-UP-entire-best-selling-holocaust-memoir-forced-pay-22-5-million-publisher-revealed-shes-not-Jewish.html>

Bearing the above in mind, I must admit I approached the book with two prominent biases: 1. I asked the author, Harry Cooper, for a review copy primarily because I liked David Dees' attractive front cover, and 2. As this book includes the material that gave rise to the idea of Hitler and his entourage escaping the Berlin "suicide" bunker, as depicted in *Escape from the Bunker* – which I have not read and which is included in this volume – I would certainly hope to be able to trace the development of the escape hypothesis as told to Harry Cooper by the German Spanish spy, **Don Angel Alcazar de Velasco**. Before starting on the book, a friend asked me a basic question: *If someone crafted a lengthy false Holocaust memoir from a made-up Jew who "proved" that the Holocaust never happened—and it convinced 100 million people the Holocaust never happened—would you support that?*

My response was: *If a book about matters Holocaust appears by an author called Luap Legna, then I shall get a copy and see if I can crack the code*, i.e. to find out whether the story is fiction, fact or faction – and especially since 9/11, et al, it is becoming increasingly more difficult to ascertain those significant ***undercurrents of truth***.

And then we do need to be aware of the tactics used by those upholding PC doctrines, those who label their critics as *conspiracy theorists* so as to melodramatise their works as a "mad, demented conspiracy theory. This occurred on 23 May 2014 in a story headline involving a former Australian Prime Minister:

CLAIMS from Malcolm Fraser that Israel deliberately bombed the USS Liberty in June 1967 is a "mad, demented conspiracy theory", Australia/Israel & Jewish Affairs Council (AIJAC) national chairman Mark Leibler said this week. – <http://www.jewishnews.net.au/mad-claims-from-former-pm/34980>.

Interestingly, Fraser has always been a good friend of Israel, and his comment follows soon after another good friend of Israel, former Australian Foreign Minister, Bob Carr, in his autobiography: ***Bob Carr: Diary of a Foreign Minister***, dropped his bomb-shell about Australia's Foreign Ministry being controlled by the Israel lobby mainly from Melbourne – <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/apr/10/bob-carr-accused-of-bigotry-over-diary-claims-of-pro-israeli-foreign-policy>

It is thus always suspicious when individuals use the ***conspiracy theory*** phrase with a view to shutting down a

discussion because those trying to stop an open discussion are thereby merely covering up their own conspiratorial mindset. Truth does not need a conspiracy wherein to flourish.

Of course, an obvious difficulty confronts those writing history while various national archives are still locking up important documents. Harry Cooper claims that he received numerous newly declassified documents from individual researchers that now fill the gaps of the Hitler escape story.

Unfortunately, during the 1990s I met a man in the USA whose home is full of all sorts of documents – and he is able to manufacture on demand any written document needed to fill the gap within any historical narrative that would then slant the narrative to the wished-for conclusion.

Remember, Professor Arthur Butz, in his *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, mentions the *Donation of Constantine*, the written document that the Papacy used to prove the Roman Emperor bequeathed his empire to Christendom! Not until the printing press was invented and individuals gained access to church-unmediated information – perhaps in the Heideggerian sense – did this massive forgery fall apart. Fortunately, our current 21st Century's Internet age offers us a similar opportunity to find the truth of an asserted matter quite independently of the Politically Correct pressures that inevitably and of necessity get to work to stop any enquiry into the truth of an historical matter. The valiant Revisionists, who literally risked their lives to research the Holocaust narrative, attest to that. It is also wise to remember the words of German physicist Max Planck who stated that factual truths are those that can be measured, and to that I add – and the rest is opinion.

The above musings are influencing my reading of Harry Cooper's book. Now let's start this review by focusing on its basic classification: FORM and CONTENT.

FORM

This is a paperback edition of 304 pages, printed in Charleston, SC, on 03 April 2014, and published by **Sharkhunters International**, PO Box 1539, Hernando, FL 34441 – www.sharkhunters.com.

I would have liked to have seen this printing information on a separate page where the usual Catalogue in Publication data is given. The ISBN number 9 781495 936067 appears on the back page on the bar code. Why not also have it prominently displayed with additional information about the book's formal classification into fact or fiction?

I recall how Australian author Thomas Kenneally's *Schindler's Ark* – later in 1994 to become Stephen Spielberg's *Schindler's List* – initially was classified as factual/historical, until Ernst Zündel protested, and which led to its re-classification as fiction.

I wish Harry Cooper had asked Gernar Rudolf to set the book because visually it confuses and looks untidy. Some basic proof-reading would fix irritating errors, for example the mixing of the plural and possessive use of the apostrophe is annoying, as in 1940s and 1940's, the former is plural, of the decade, while the latter is singular, of 1940.

The Contents page is also in need of restructuring – it is titled *Escape from the Bunker* and ends with Part Seven, which I assume is the first section before we move into the Hitler in Argentina story. The *Afterword* lists "Detailed proof" but then at page 126 it states "PART VIII Details and Proof" – and so it moves into the Argentine story.

And for the next print run there should also be a detailed INDEX of persons and places.

Pale photocopies of documents are difficult to read that a little proof-formatting with brightness/contrast would have fixed.

What is also irritable is that most photos and documents carry the www.sharkhunters.com stamp on them, but then, for example, at pages 160 there is a group photo that includes Adolf Eichmann, which is billed as an "exclusive to Sharkhunters" without the imprint. Then at page 252 there is a group photo where men and women are gathered for a birthday celebration with the room displaying two swastika banners and a hovering Eagles over a photo of Adolf Hitler. Such matters are a worry, but then I can understand that if

sources need to be protected on account of the professional "Nazi Hunters" still foaming at the mouth for action against individuals, some sources have to remain anonymous. That these thug-like agents of hatred and injustice are still actively pursuing old men is evident from the 20 May 2014 news item headed: **20 former guards of Nazi death camp to face charges. All 20 suspects still live in Germany after serving as guards at Majdanek. Investigators still examining 1000 others who may have been former Nazis.** <http://www.vnetnews.com/articles/0.7340.L-4521828.00.html>

The overall advice for the FORM section is: Please, before the next print-run, find an editor who will produce a book that does not visually irritate.

CONTENT

The 26 officers' training points listed under *Fähnrich!* are true words of wisdom that confirms how German officers were imbued with sound moral values, which should be reproduced in a future newsletter.

The story of Hitler's escape emerged during the 1980s when Spaniard Don Angel Alcasar de Velasco began writing to Harry Cooper about his experiences, and since that time other men have confirmed his story by referencing it through material obtained from files belonging to the CIA, FBI, OSS, National Archives, STASI, etc.

I worried when at p223 *Colonia Dignidad* appeared in the narrative because I visited this village now called *Villa Baviera*. This visit cost me friendships because I could not confirm that in this rural village setting there were Aufmärsche of National Socialists ready to take on the world as soon as the USA destroyed itself. Ingrid Rimland-Zündel, particularly, lavished biting criticism on my emails sent from *Colonia Dignidad-Villa Baviera* in 2004.

And I could not confirm that UFOs were flying food from there to the Antarctic base of Neu Schwabenland.

What I did find was a German settlement begun during the 1960s that indeed had a radio transmitter and air strip together with a hospital – and anything else you would find in an almost self-contained farming community. When a refugee from the Pinochet government tried to seek refuge there, he was refused help because the community did not wish to become involved in political matters. After Pinochet was deposed, this refugee had become a member of the new parliament – and began to hound the German community there. The SS rumour emerged because many members were receiving German pension checks that they deposited in their local bank accounts. This attack on things German increased and even the German Hospital in Santiago seemed to be at pains to eliminate any visual references to it being a German-funded hospital.

I mention this story because it fits into the narrative that Harry Cooper is presenting on the influence Germans have had in Argentina in building a home from home. And it is a fact that many Germans fled Germany and took refuge in South America and elsewhere in the world – and re-built their small communities within a larger foreign environment. A similar story can be told about so-called "overseas Germans" all over the world where they settled, especially after World War Two.

That such individuals had to be careful in concealing their war-time activities is attested to the existence of the pathological self-proclaimed "Nazi hunters" such as Dr Ephraim Zuroff.

If you think about it, with still no peace treaty any German is fair game – and that kind of hunting game is pathetic, especially when a person is then brought to trial, as was Adolf Eichmann, who Cooper claims did not have the money to retain a protective cordon around himself.

And like the Nuremberg War Crimes Trials, the kind of justice handed down is no justice because relevant defences were rejected, except to create new offences for which there was no defence.

It is much like our Section 18C of the Racial Discrimination Act where anything at all presented in court is likely to **offend or insult**, and then this fact of someone feeling hurt will also

prove that the person causing the offence has no **good faith** in having done the act that caused the offence.

Cooper also mentions Priebke's extradition to Italy as another example of proof for his story. Again, it's plausible because the generational change would also have impacted on such communities, especially if we recall how Hermann Goering's daughter in the USA celebrated herself because she had sterilised herself on account of not wishing to give birth to the monstrosity of evil from which she herself emerged.

Many German immigrant families all over the world had children who decried their own fathers' activities because they believed in the countless lies that are found in the Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

Harry Cooper's book in parts gives comfort to those who wish to believe that the Third Reich's Adolf Hitler and company did escape and lived out their lives in Argentina.

For others, like FG Kausch, Hitler was killed by Jewish operatives in the Bunker, and such a story makes sense, especially if we recall the recent past where the Anglo-Americans in their war games have killed the likes of Saddam Husein, Mohamad Ghadaffi, Osama bin Laden, and more. Even now many Middle Easterners believe it was a double of Saddam who was hanged, and we know from Indian newspaper reports – if true – that bin laden died in 2001 and was not killed and his ashes scattered over the sea by a crack Seal-team, who all later died in a helicopter crash – so the subsequent media reports.

In the section *Why Didn't "They" Go After Him?* Cooper gives the narrative a US view-point, which means that Germans were absorbed within the US establishment and became part

of the industrial complex. This, of course, is exploited by critics, not by Cooper, as proof that the Bush family, et al., are the current NAZIS.

Thus, in essence, the story told by Harry Cooper is an excellent and plausible story for those who wish to believe that Adolf Hitler deserted his people and like many so-called dictators fled the scene, as did Idi Amin, and a host of others who then seek refuge in, for example nice civilized Switzerland. And Martin Bormann, a circumcised Jew according to the book – as was Eichmann, so Cooper – was ready to bring back Adolf Hitler, but conditions in Germany were not propitious for such a return.

There is no absolute proof that this escape and planned return happened on account of archives still being closed. For example, the Vatican has sanctified its two previous popes but is still not sanctifying Pope Pius XII because of his war-time activity, and perhaps because of his role in helping National Socialists escape to South America after the war. However, Pope Innocent is currently favouring curry with the Jews by visiting the Holy Land and having committed himself to visiting the State of Palestine – and he will also lay a wreath on the grave of Theodor Hertzfel, the founder of Israel. According to one German historian the founder of Israel, however, was Adolf Hitler.

If you are interested in such further speculations, then the book is a good read, and so contact Harry Cooper at: sharkhunters@earthlink.net.

**Fredrick Töben,
Adelaide 25 May 2014.**

...in a previous Newsletter we withdrew a reference about Germans wearing British uniforms and now take up the story again as told by Ernst Kriesner – ed. AI ...

GERMAN SOLDIERS WEARING BRITISH UNIFORM

How a young German POW in British Uniform survived – Bergen-Belsen, that is.



Ernst Kriesner reflects on his own postWWII time

But first of all allow me to say something about my time as a POW.

From Apenrade, Denmark, what was left of us after being forced by the British to clear land mines in Denmark under the most primitive of methods – mainly by pick and shovel and just poking around, clearly it was a gross violation of the Geneva convention concerning the treatment of POW's – we

crossed the border into Schleswig-Holstein on 9 December 1946.

Our journey on the back of British trucks, with nothing to eat, not a drop to drink all day, took us through the ruins of Flensburg, devastated Kiel, Lüneburg, onto Munsterlager.

There, at Munsterlager nobody knew of our arrival, and so it happened that all of us had to sleep on a bare concrete floor, at the middle of winter without any cover, for three nights, in an empty Nissen hut.

Next morning there was no breakfast, and for the rest of the next 30 days it was one lousy meal only.

Early January some of us were being shifted to a school at Zeven, Lower Saxony, being home to German POW's, as truck drivers for the BAOR-British Army of the Rhein.



1948-49 Soltau-Sennelager - Der Ernst ist unten rechts, am Boden. Ernst front right

At Zeven I learned how to drive a truck, and soon got my own truck to drive, that took me all over the places like Fallingb., Lüneburg, Hamburg etc. Late in 1947 we were

transferred to Rotenburg, Lower Saxony, and then onto Soltau, the Heart of the Lüneburg Heath.



Ernst with his C-11 Bedford truck,

There at Soltau, about two weeks before Easter 1948, I was asked to appear before a British Captain who was looking for someone who could speak a little English, had been told I was the one.



Ernst, left, with jacket hanging on rear-vision mirror

Here I have to interrupt the story in order to relate what happen in Denmark vis-a-vis our captors, the British. Yes, some of us did speak a little English, like yours truly who had learned some school English but never had the opportunity to practice it, and captivity was definitely the wrong time to do so.

One day, however, I tried to mock a Sargent by saying: 'God save the King.' Immediately the Sargent, apparently annoyed and offended by my cheek, went wildly ballistic in challenging me to repeat what I had said to him, and I did. Wildly pointing at himself, and I thought that he was going to attack me, he, the Sargent, beating his chest, said: 'God save me, and fuck the King!' Immediately I understood the meaning of his words, and his sentiment.

The Captain, who spoke a perfect German, introduced himself by name; Greenbishel = Gruenbueschel was my correct interpretation. Why he wanted someone who spoke English, no matter how little, was a riddle to me.

Soon I worked out that Captain Greenbishel was not with Intelligence, his job was with the British War Grave Commission, and the destination of his work was the town of Bergen, about 80 km by road from Soltau.

The roads in 1948 were not the best, very narrow with on-coming traffic, and so it happen that it took about two hours from Soltau to get there, two hours to get back, which did not leave much time to carry out the Captains work. His work was to transfer the bodies of some British officers to the

Motherland, there is a huge Cemetery for British Soldiers at Bergen.

And so it happen that the Captain hit upon the idea to seek some accommodation for himself, and for myself, at the former Concentration Camp Belsen.

No, he the Captain did not ask me if his decision was agreeable to me or not, and as it happen, I did not have any objection. This was in spite the fact, as far back as our POW days in Denmark, we were told all those horrible propaganda stories about Jewish soap, and Lampshades being made out of corpses, and worse.

*

From this to later work on the Snowy Mountain Scheme and then to Tasmania, Ernst is a typical German who lives an exemplary life.

*

No, not for a second did I have any misgivings or fear of being dumped among the inhabitants, all Juifs, of the place called Belsen.

It must be mentioned that the former Concentration Camp that we do know by the name of Bergen-Belsen did become, late in 1945, a transit camp for Jewish people from the East.

They came from Poland, Belarus, Ukraine, the Soviet Union, Hungary, etc, they, the Survivors, came from all over the places in the East, by the thousands, by the Millions!

From as early as the beginning of 1946, through the place called Bergen-Belsen, every week, some 2,000 Juifs found their way to Australia, Canada, the UK, USA, the Americas etc. Bergen-Belsen was not the only place in occupied West Germany that was for transit, there were other such camps in the US Zone of occupation, as well as in the French and Soviet Zone. Furthermore, those transit camps did exist until 1950, and beyond.

Come to think about the numbers that, during all those years, from early 1946 to 1950, given the fact that through Bergen-Belsen alone a weekly transit of some 2, 000 Juifs took place, it was a staggering amount of people.

Something else must be said about the place, from very early in the making, Bergen-Belsen did become the biggest, the greatest, Black Market Industry in the whole of Europe.

There you could have every thing the heart desired, if you had US \$. Everything from shoe laces to jewelry, to petrol, to a car or truck!

So, back to the question of a German POW having any fear or misgivings of being quartered among the multitude of Juifs from Eastern Europe?

The answer to that is a resounding NO. He simply had no fear, no guilt complex whatsoever simply because he knew from experience, having lived close enough to the East, that all the Propaganda stories could not be true!

If, on the other hand the Holohoax had been real, OY Vey *geschrien*, they would have ripped the young German POW, marked as such in British Uniform, not khaki but maroon, to pieces, in next-to-no-time!

And how did he communicate with the Juifs? In German? No. In English? Nope! In Yiddish? You bet! Yiddish is a corruption of the German language, close enough to understand, as in my native Danzig, from early on we had been exposed to it. Business is Business, and so it happened.

I was asked for the lend of my 20 ltr Jerry can and naive that I was, not thinking of any harm, I gave it to the person who asked for it – to my shame and regret. What did they do with the Jerry Can? They drained my petrol tank, all of it, 150 liters.

Now, the theft I had to report to Captain Greenbishel, who was just laughing, but gave me permission to refill my tank. End of story, yet the Jerry can I never saw again. All this did happen just before Easter, lasting two weeks.

And that is my account of survival among the Juifs at Bergen-Belsen in 1948.